Hypnotherapy for Functional Gastrointestinal Disorders

PJ Whorwell

Professor of Medicine & Gastroenterology University of Manchester

Functional disorders

Gastroenterology - irritable bowel syndrome Rheumatology - fibromyalgia Urology - interstitial cystitis (irritable bladder) Gynaecology - pelvic pain Neurology - headaches Immunology - chronic fatigue

Functional gastrointestinal disorders

Irritable bowel syndrome

Functional dyspepsia

Non cardiac chest pain

Biliary dyskinesia

Proctalgia fugax

Irritable bowel syndrome

Perception of IBS

Nuisance rather than serious Not life threatening Largely psychological

Symptoms of IBS

Abdominal pain - any site Abdominal bloating/distension Disordered bowel habit - diarrhoea

- constipation
- alternating



Severity underestimated

Severity of illness

Pain (severity) **IBS-D** (urgency, incontinence) IBS-C (BO x 1/week or more) Exaggerated gastro-colonic reflex IBS D - Afraid to eat: diarrhoea worse (housebound) IBS C - Afraid to eat: pain worse (can get out) Bloating and distension (particularly IBS-C)

Non colonic symptoms

Nausea Chest pain Backache Lethargy Urinary symptoms Gynaecological symptoms \rightarrow burden of illness → diagnostically useful → inappropriate referral

Whorwell et al, 1986 Maxton et al, 1991

Inappropriate referral

(gynaecological, urological, orthopaedic, geriatric)

Poor outcome

Unnecessary investigation

Unnecessary treatment

Prior et al, 1989 Francis et al, 1997 Agrawal et al, 2009

Severity of illness

Sexual function

Extra-intestinal features

Absenteeism from work

Quality of life

Faecal incontinence

500 consecutive IBS patients **IBS-D 65% IBS-A 63%** IBS – C 38% (laxatives 35%) 23% not told anyone Only 50% had told their doctor 66% carried a change of clothes 30% regularly used incontinence pads

Atarodi et al, 2015

Other issues

Wind Stigmatised Inadequacies of treatment Hopelessness Suicide

Suicidal ideation in IBS

Comparison of severe IBS with active ulcerative colitis and Crohn's disease

"Have you ever seriously contemplated or attempted suicide solely on account of your gastrointestinal disorder as opposed any other issues"

Suicide data in IBS and IBD

	tertiary care IBS	active IBD
Mean age	51.1	45.8
Suicidal thoughts concerning disease	38%	15%
Attempted suicide because of disease	5%	1%
Mean depression score	8.3	5.6
Symptoms rated as severe	70%	40%
Substantial interference with life	71%	41%
Treatment considered adequate	36%	64%

Miller et al 2004

Treatment approach There is no single 'stand alone' treatment

Education **Dietary manipulation** Medication Support - helpline **Behavioural approaches** 'Alternative' drugs Palliation

Dietary management



Overall symptomatic response to fibre

Fibre Source	B	etter Worse		Uncł	Unchanged	
Cereal fibre	11	(11%)	55	(55%)	33	(33%)
Cornflakes	0		0		88	(100%)
Rice Crispies	0		0		81	(100%)
Porridge	0		9	(12%)	66	(88%)
Muesli	0		21	(27%)	58	(73%)
Vegetables	3	(3%)	24	(25%)	71	(72%)
Fruit	5	(5%)	42	(45%)	47	(50%)
Pulses	0		22	(25%)	65	(75%)
Nuts	0		23	(27%)	61	(73%)
Proprietary fibre	27	(39%)	15	(22%)	27	(39%)

Francis et al, 1994

Carbohydrate intolerance (fermentable oligo- di- mono- saccharides and polyols FODMAPS)

Examples:

Fructose

Lactose

Fructans

Galactans

Sorbitol

Cause problems in IBS Widely used in the food industry Occur in fruit and vegetables



Antispasmodics

Anti-diarrhoeals



Antidepressants

Other approaches

Acupuncture - equivocal Probiotics Behavioural approaches

Behavioural Treatments

Psychotherapy

Cognitive behavioural hypnotherapy

Hypnotherapy

Hypnotherapy for GI disorders

Hypnotherapy package

Gut focused

Tutorial on IBS

Normalisation of function: tactile visualisation

Twelve sessions

Weekly intervals

Daily practice with CD

Gut focused hypnosis

gut focused

hand on abdomen

visualise river (flow or dam)

practice with gut focused tape/disc

Key components 1

Calm, peaceful, relaxed Mind becoming stronger/powerful More positive, less negative **Overcome anything** Hand on tummy (correlates) No symptoms ... (repetitive) **Triplets**

Key components 2

Think of gut as river Determined to get better You' re in control of gut, not gut in control of you Tummy source of pleasure Set backs will not stop progress Everything in harmony Every system working naturally

Key components 3

Gut cannot be upset by anything Road to recovery When wake up - continued improvement Reinforce with tape or disc Wake up **IBS Studies**





Results expressed as median and interquartile range * p<0.05;** p<0.0001 Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 1996;10:91-95
Non-colonic symptoms



Results expressed as median and interquartile range ****** *p***<**0.01; ***** *p***≤**0.05

Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 1996;10:91-95

Quality of life



Results expressed as median and interquartile range *** p<0.0001; ** p<0.001; * p<0.05

Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 1996;10:91-95

Additional advantages

Back to work Less time off work More effective at work Less GP consultations - for IBS

- for other conditions

Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 1996;10:91-95

Established NHS Service with 6 therapists

Results for first 250 treated

Patients referred from GI clinic

All patients treated

Very severe cases included

IBS symptom score



Data as median plus interquartile range

Am J Gastroenterology 2002;97:954-961

Extra-colonic features



Am J Gastroenterology 2002;97:954-961

score (max 100)

Quality of life measures



Am J Gastroenterology 2002;97:954-961

Anxiety and depression HAD Scores

	pre-HT	post-HT	'p' value
HAD 'A' Score	11.1 ± 0.3	7.3 ± 0.3	p<0.001
% anxious (score ≥9)	68.3%	34.6%	p<0.001
HAD 'D' Score	7.2 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 0.3	p<0.001
% depressed (score ≥9)	36.1%	14.6%	p<0.001

HAD Scores expressed as mean ± S.E.M. *post-HT v pre-HT, paired 't' test

Am J Gastroenterology 2002;97:954-961

Hypnotherapy for irritable bowel syndrome: an audit of 1000 patients

Miller et al. *Gastroenterology 2012;134:A416 (abstract)*

IBS symptom severity score



Miller et al 2015

Non-colonic features



Quality of life measures



Audit of 1000 patients

76% of patients achieved a 50 point or more(clinically significant) reduction in symptom severity score

Overall response rate 80% in females and 62% in males

67% achieved 30% or more reduction in pain scores (FDA requirement)

Miller et al 2015

Confirmation of results

Harvey et al Lancet 1989; 1: 424-425

Galovski and Blanchard Appl Psychophysiol Biofeedback 1998; 23: 219-232

Vidakovic-Vukic *Scan J Gastroenterol 1999; 230(supp):* 49-51

Forbes et al Internat J Colorect Dis 2000; 15:328-34

Palsson et al *Dig Dis Sci 2002; 47: 2605-14*

Long term benefits of hypnotherapy

Long term benefits in IBS Total symptom scores



Am J Gastroenterology 2002;97:954-961

Long term benefits in IBS

General

83% of responders well after 1-5 years

Medication use59% taking no medication42% on medication taking it less often

Consultation behaviour

79% consulted GP/hospital consultant less often or not at all47% consulted GP less often about other symptoms

Am J Gastroenterology 2002 <u>97</u> 954-961

Long term benefits in IBS

71% of remained well after 2-7 years (mean 4 years)Continued improvementReduced medication needs

Reduced consultation rates in both 1^o and 2^o care

Scand J Gastroenterol 2012;47:413-20

Patient satisfaction with hypnotherapy

High proportion of satisfaction with treatment Satisfaction high even when GI symptoms not improved

Neurogastroenterology Motility 2012;25:169-186

Functional dyspepsia

Treatments

Hypnotherapy

Supportive treatment

Conventional treatment

Functional dyspepsia



Gastroenterology 2002;123:1778-85

Medication use and consultation rate of patients during the long-term follow-up

	40 week follow-up			
Medication	Hypnotherapy	Supportive	Conventional	
	(n=26)	(n=24)	(n=29)	
Number taking medication	0	20	26	
% taking medication	0	81.8*	89.7*	
PPI	0	6	15	
H ₂ antagonists	0	8	8	
Prokinetics	0	0	0	
Antacids	0	4	3	
Antidepressants	0	5	0	
None	26	4	3	
No. of GI consultations median (IQR)	0 (0-0)	3.5 (0-10)*	3 (0-9)*	
Total no. of consultations median (IQR)	1 (0-2)	4 (1-10)*	4 (0-9)*	

*p<0.001 verses hypnotherapy

Gastroenterology 2002;123:1778-85

Non cardiac chest pain

Non cardiac chest pain

Angina-like pain - no heart disease Difficult condition to treat - fear sudden death 28 angiogram negative patients Hypnotherapy vs supportive therapy (12 weeks) Primary outcome: global relief of chest pain

Gut 2006;55:1403-1408

Improvement of global chest pain and well being scores



Chest pain: long term improvement (mean 2.8 years)



Quality of life: long term improvement (mean 2.8 years)



Mechanism of action Hypnosis

Psychological:non specificanxiety / depressioncognitive change

Physiological:motilityvisceral sensitivitycentral processing

Anxiety and depression HAD Scores

	pre-HT	post-HT	'p' value
HAD 'A' Score	11.1 ± 0.3	7.3 ± 0.3	p<0.001
% anxious (score ≥9)	68.3%	34.6%	p<0.001
HAD 'D' Score	7.2 ± 0.3	4.1 ± 0.3	p<0.001
% depressed (score ≥9)	36.1%	14.6%	p<0.001

HAD Scores expressed as mean ± S.E.M. *post-HT v pre-HT, paired 't' test

Am J Gastroenterology 2002;97:954-961

Cognitive change

78 patients

Cognitive Scale before and after hypnotherapy

Cognitive Scale scores

Bowel performance anxiety Pain Control Self-efficacy Anger/frustration Embarrassment/shame **Disease conviction** Social approval Social rules/norms Self-nurturance Perfectionism **Total Score**

Pre-HT 4.83 (1.62) 5.13 (1.54) 4.99 (1.61) 5.27 (1.33) 5.97 (1.45) 4.77 (1.60) 4.62 (1.97) 4.73 (1.26) 5.41 (1.54) 4.57 (1.46) 5.71 (1.40) 151.2 (36.2)

Post-HT

3.71 (1.49) 3.55 (1.65) 3.66 (1.69) 3.63 (1.45) 4.03 (1.91) 3.80 (1.46) 3.00 (1.66) 4.05 (1.24) 4.93 (1.61) 4.08 (1.48) 5.55 (1.29) 114.5 (38.8)

p<0.001 p<0.001 p<0.001 p<0.001 p<0.001 p<0.001 p<0.001 p<0.001 p<0.01 p<0.05 ns p<0.001

J Psychosomatic Research 2004 56 271-8

Motility



Lancet 1992;2:69-72

Motility (stomach) gastric emptying



Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 2006;23:1241-49

Change in rectal hyper-sensitivity



Alimentary Pharmacology and Therapeutics 2003;17:635-42

ACC - important pain processing area

Painful rectal stimulus activates ACC activation in IBS > controls

Gastroenterolgy 2000;118:842
Effects of hypnosis on brain response to pain

Hypnotic suggestion reduces suffering from but not perception of a painfully hot stimulus



Science 1997;277:969-71

IBS symptoms worse with food

Exaggerated gastrocolonic response Duodenal lipid infusion Colonic sensory and motor responses Hypnotherapy results in reduced reactivity

Psychosomatic Medicine 2004 66 233-8

Hypnotherapy in inflammatory bowel disease

Hypnotherapy in inflammatory bowel disease (Crohn's Disease & Ulcerative Colitis)

15 patients

12 ulcerative colitis, 3 Crohn's

All active not responding to steroids or azathioprine

All still taking steroids (15 mg or above)

Gut focused hypnosis for 12 sessions

Followed up for a mean of 6.5 years

Effect of HT on disease activity



Effect of HT on quality of life



Corticosteroid use at follow up



Effect of hypnotherapy on maintenance of remission in ulcerative colitis

	Hypnotherapy (25)	Attention control (25)	p value
Days to relapse	359	281	p=0.03
No. in remissior at 1 year	า 68%	40%	p=0.04

Keefer et al. Alimentary Pharmacology & Therapeutics. 2013;38:761-71

Summary

60-70% response rate Sustained relief of <u>all</u> symptoms Modifies motility Modifies visceral sensitivity Improves quality of life Less time off work Back to work Less GP consultations **Reduced medication needs**

Vlieger et al. Gastroenterology 2007;133:1430-6

27 Hypnotherapy

52 children with IBS

25 Usual care + supportive therapy

6 sessions of either treatment

Pain intensity

Pain frequency

Associated symptoms (eg nausea, vomiting, flatus etc)







Overall outcome:

Hypnotherapy: 85% response

Supportive therapy + usual care: 25% response

Long term effects





Vlieger et al. Am J Gastroenterol 2012;107:627-31

Group Hypnotherapy

	Individual	Group	Control	Signif
				Individ vs Group
3 months	41%	33%	17%	ns
1 year	41%	50%	22%	ns

Most studies in tertiary care (severe) More severe cases respond better More severe cases engage more

Lancet Gastroenterol Hepatol 2019;4:20-31

Skype Hypnotherapy

	Skype	Face to face	Significance
Response rate	65%	76%	ns

Slightly less effective Suitable for long distance patients Suitable for patients unable to travel (diarrhoea)

Google: Vasant & Whorwell Int J Clin Exp Hypn 2019;67:69-80

PROFESSOR PETER WHORWELL WORLD-LEADING EXPERT ON IBS

Take Control of Your IBS



The complete guide to managing your symptoms